

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/767,487	MARONEY, BRIAN J.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kathryn Odland	3743

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Kathryn Odland.

(3) _____.

(2) Paul Maginot.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 March 2004

Time: 11:00 AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

21 and 26

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Kathryn Odland
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was contacted to clarify the claim language to positively recite that a substantial portion of the greater tubercle is resected in order to more completely define the invention over the prior art. Support for this amendment is found on pages 11-13, with emphasis on page 13 and seen in the figures.